



THE Enniskillen Massacre

THE PRICE OF WEAKNESS

IRA thrives on policy of pro-republican appeasement

THE MURDER in a bomb-explosion of 11 innocent townspeople, and the wounding of a further 63 men, women and children who had turned out on Remembrance Sunday to honour their war dead at the cenotaph in Enniskillen, Northern Ireland, was one of the most diabolically evil acts of terrorism ever to be perpetrated by the gangsters of the IRA. Inevitably, this hideous atrocity has caused shock and revulsion; rightly, all decent people will feel the deepest sympathy for the people of Enniskillen, our fellow Britons, in the loss of their loved ones and friends.

But at the end of the day and natural as such emotions and expressions of sympathy are, they are not on their own good enough. Least of all are they acceptable when they represent the sum total of official statements from government ministers supposedly responsible for law and order, and from whom we, the British people, are entitled to expect more than just words.

Yet stock-phrases and platitudes 'deploring' terrorism, and expressing 'horror' at the Enniskillen massacre, were all that was forthcoming in the House of Commons from Mrs. Thatcher's Ulster Secretary Tom King. Mr. King offered no solutions to the trouble and spoke almost as if IRA terrorism was some sort of act of God, in the face of which neither the government nor anyone else could do anything.

INSULT TO ULSTER

Rightly angered by this reaction from the government, Loyalist

spokesmen described this statement as "an insult." It should be felt to be so by Britons on the mainland as much as by Ulster people. In his reply to Mr. King's fatuous statement, Dr. Ian Paisley, MP for Antrim North, remarked: "No amount of condemnation or sympathy from you or your government will allay the real fears of the people of Ulster in regard to the increasing IRA terrorist murders."

"The people of Northern Ireland do not want sympathy; they want action to put this violence down." And in speaking of Mr. King Dr. Paisley added: "Does he not understand the anguish of an exasperated population

which has seen massacre piled on massacre?"

Dr. Paisley's fellow Unionist Mr. Ken Maginnis, MP for Fermanagh, which now has a roll of 194 dead by violence, including the victims of the Enniskillen slaughter, responded to the wetness of Mr. King's statement by saying: "It's an insult to come here and offer platitudes... (Mr. King) can no longer get away with simple condemnations of violence." He added that out of 169 murders by the IRA in South Tyrone only 8 per-cent had resulted in convictions.

In spite of everything, it appears that Mrs. Thatcher and Mr. King have rejected all the measures for fighting IRA terrorism that common sense suggests. It seems that they have not the slightest intention of proclaiming martial law, reintroducing capital punishment or internment of known IRA supporters, or even doing away with the generous jail remission enjoyed by terrorist prisoners. Above all, they will not abandon their crowning piece of weakness and folly, not to say betrayal, the Anglo-Irish Agreement. In the words of one of Enniskillen's townsfolk: "Politicians will talk their heads off, but it will be all talk and no action."

TWO DECADES OF VIOLENCE

For almost two decades now, Ulster has been convulsed by the violence of republican gangsters who, in their efforts to detach the province from Britain and incorporate it in a united marxist Republic of Ireland, have attempted to terrorise the loyal British majority into submission. It should have been regarded by the government at Westminster as its bounden duty to stand four-square behind its own loyal citizens in Ulster, as much as it should be in any other part of what is supposed to be our country.

But, instead, what have we witnessed?

One government after another has met this threat to the lives and freedom of Ulster's loyal British folk and the integrity of Britain's national territory with a consistent response of appeasement and surrender towards the gunmen and bombers of the IRA, and a policy of stealthy sell-out of this united



SCENE OF CARNAGE AT ENNISKILLEN

The blood of these innocent people is as much on the hands of the government as on those of the bombers

of Britain's citizens to the foreign power that claims their land, the Irish Republic. And never has this cowardly, creeping treachery gone further than under Mrs. Thatcher's Tory regime.

The IRA and its kind should properly be regarded as vermin, to be ruthlessly exterminated. This would be the attitude of any British government with backbone and which genuinely put the well being of the British people first.

Britain has an army and a police

force which, given the support of a state determined to enforce order, could wipe these gangster elements out once and for all.

But neither the present government or any of its predecessors have ever thought in terms of ending the war in Ulster by winning it.

To begin with, they have never forthrightly and without compromise proclaimed, as a matter of absolute principle, that is not negotiable, that Ulster is forever British.

On the contrary, Mrs. Thatcher's agreement with the government of the Irish Republic has had the effect of putting Ulster's whole status as a British province in serious question. Under the terms of the Anglo-Irish Agreement, the government of Eire (a country which not only has an article of its constitution claiming Ulster as part of its own territory but also provides a

Contd. at bottom of next page

The Anglo-Irish Agreement: a total failure

The infamous Anglo-Irish Agreement, concluded by the government in collaboration with the Irish Republic, has not even succeeded in the objectives for which it was claimed to be drawn up.

The main objectives, as the government pronounced them, were:-

- To improve co-operation between the RUC and Irish police in catching terrorists and bringing them to justice.
- To facilitate the process of extradition of terrorists from the Irish Republic to the United Kingdom.
- To reduce the level of strife between the communities in Ulster, by appeasement of the republican element, and thus to reduce the volume of terrorist acts.

In all of these alleged objectives, the Anglo-Irish Agreement has spectacularly failed. There has not been the slightest effective co-operation between the two police forces, and the Irish police have only recently illustrated their bungling incompetence in the way they have allowed terrorists they had cornered to get away. As far as extradition is concerned, the government of the Republic is still dragging its feet on the pretext of legal 'technicalities' but in probability for reasons that, at root, are political. And, as Enniskillen and other similar outrages have demonstrated, there has not been the slightest abatement of the war in Ulster — indeed, if anything, a stepping up of it. In fact the rate of murders has been higher than before it.

It is now high time that the British government did the honourable and sensible thing: recognise that the Anglo-Irish Agreement has been a total flop — and scrap it without further ado!



KING Has nothing to offer but words

CHURCH GIVES QUEERS THE GO-AHEAD

INSTEAD of issuing an unequivocal condemnation of homosexuality at the recent General Synod of the Church of England, the overwhelming majority of the bishops and leading clergy who make up the Church establishment limited themselves to issuing a quite pitiful statement on the subject which barely amounted to a tap on the knuckles for the queer elements among the priesthood — and gives these elements virtually a free hand to continue practising their perversions. This followed moves by decent churchmen to purge the homos, who, according to some reports, make up nearly a third of the clergy. This move had been in accordance with traditional and official church teachings, which make no bones about the sinfulness of homosexual behaviour.

All that the Synod was prepared to say on the matter was that queer sexual behaviour "fell short of the ideal." This feeble position was agreed by the great majority of the assembled church dignitaries, who refused even to countenance the sacking of the queer clergy.

For their part, the large and aggressive queer elements, which have been allowed by the Church establishment to get such a grip, have even been permitted to run a pressure group which styles itself the 'Gay Christian Movement' and agitates for the queers' so-called 'rights'. In addition to conducting this agitation, the 'Gay Christian Movement' is also said to be involved in distributing sexual material of a kind that has been described as openly pornographic.

So once again it seems that the scum of society are being allowed to ride roughshod over the decent and the normal, all in obedience to demands for 'compassion' and 'tolerance', which the wimps in authority have not the spine to resist. This has now become quite normal in the sick and decadent society that modern Britain has been allowed to become.

The Church of England, which is legally established as part of the country's constitution, has an immensely influential position. It is supposed to be there to look after the moral welfare of the British people.

Its function should be to enforce the healthy, instinctive moral consensus of the normal British majority. To survive, a nation needs hard social discipline, which it should be one of the central concerns of the Church to maintain.

Instead of demanding these standards, and playing its part in making sure they are lived up to, the Church establishment has issued a new 'Pansies' Charter, giving the militant pervert elements the go-ahead and waiving any requirement for self-control and self-discipline on their part. It would seem today that church leaders have adopted as their creed a morbid and sickly sentimental sympathy for everything inferior, debased and degenerate, and everything that whines for 'rights' — in other words, the very liberalism which is steadily destroying our nation as a whole. The Church seems eager to advertise itself as the plaything of the same sort of pushy and subversive minorities as every other established institution.

Britain has had enough in the

Contd. on back page

THE FUTURE BELONGS TO US!

Britain's youngsters reject wet 'liberal' attitudes of many of their elders



NOT FOOLED BY THE OLD CLICHES AND SLOGANS
Towards crime and punishment, aid to the Third World, race and immigration, Britain's young are coming to embrace 'hard-line' attitudes which will bring them to swell our ranks

to present a contrary side to this (for liberals) alarming picture, tried to inject a little hopefulness into the situation by speaking of the pride many black youngsters now felt in Britain, but here he perhaps put over the opposite message to the one he intended. For instance:-

"At the same school (in South London), after a white boy had been sounding off about how difficult it was to be proud of Britain these days, a black youngster rushed to its defence. 'But look what we've got here,' said Bradley. 'The Royal Family, good boxers, better welfare than anywhere else. I'm proud to be British.'"

Quite so.

ATTITUDE TO ROYALTY

Mention of the Royal Family brings us to Turner's findings on that subject. Here the youngsters interviewed fell almost exactly into line with the viewpoint of our own paper and the party it supports. While broadly supporting royalty as an institution, these young people were severely critical of most of its individual representatives. Particularly scathing were the remarks on the trivial level of newspaper reporting on the lives of the royals, but the latter did not escape criticism for leading life-styles that lent themselves to such trivia. Said one 14-year-old from the North of England: "They're on a cushy number. All that money just for shaking hands and

cutting pieces of string."

Among Royal Family members, the Queen Mother and the Princess Royal did not come off too badly, but Prince Charles and Princess Diana, according to the article, scored low marks with the young.

And, as perhaps an uncomplimentary reference to the foreign goods invasion of this country, one of the youngsters referred to the Royal Family as "one of the few things not made in Japan or Taiwan."

NOT BRAINWASHED

These attitudes are all the more remarkable considering that the young people in question can have had little encouragement to adopt them from their schoolteachers, who in the great majority are leftist and 'liberal' in their sentiments. No can they have been very much influenced by the mass media, which are likewise.

It is probable that these attitudes have been formed as a result of two main factors. The first, and most predominant, is the young people's commonsense observation of the national scene and their recognition, from this, of the abject failure of 'liberal' and 'wet' ideals. No doubt, as part of this, they have come to place much less credence in what is said by their teachers and the media than did earlier generations.

But, as the other factor, we should not under-rate the role of nationalist

propaganda, which has percolated through to young people in schools by many means. These youngsters, much more than their elders, are prepared to embrace political doctrines that are not 'respectable', provided they make sense. It could well be that we ourselves are now starting to reap the harvest, in the form of a drastic change in popular attitudes, of the hard and often heartbreaking spadework we have put in over the past decade or two in trying to create a new climate of national opinion, as a prelude to the necessary changes we want to see in Britain.

BIG CHANGE TAKING PLACE


While at this very moment we have to endure the frustration of seeing our ideas and policies receive very small support at the polls, we should fortify ourselves in the knowledge that a massive change is taking place in Britain — which will be reflected in election results in a few years from now, when these youngsters become enfranchised and start to exert weight politically.

Their opinions — which showed up similarly in another survey conducted in the press a year or so ago — indicate that the 'liberal establishment' is going to face some real dangers in the times to come, even though at the present moment it may seem secure in its hold over people's minds.

For the message of this survey is that, whatever setbacks and frustrations present conditions may bring, **the future belongs to us!**

Latest idiocy from the Front

We reprint below an extract from the National Front magazine Nationalism Today. It is a reproduction of an old front cover of Spearhead magazine, supporting the BNP. Note that opposition to nuclear disarmament is now designated as 'senile Tory Imperialism'. What sort of people have now taken over the Front and to what purpose?



THE PRICE OF WEAKNESS (Contd. from front page)

haven for IRA terrorists and supporters) has been given a say in the internal affairs of Northern Ireland — even including matters of security!

THE MESSAGE TO REPUBLICANS

What else are republicans likely to conclude from this other than that Britain's rulers have no real resolve to defend their own people and their own territory, that Northern Ireland is up for grabs, and that all the terrorists have to do is commit more and yet bloodier acts of murder and mayhem until Britain surrenders to them? Against such a disgraceful background, is it surprising that the IRA has been encouraged to step up its campaign of atrocities to the present level?

But the matter does not stop there. The people of mainland Britain are

ultimately faced by the same threat. The IRA is **only one part** of an international network of left-wing revolutionaries which has its representatives here on the British mainland as much as in Ireland, especially among the political underworld which has helped to incite the murderous racial violence seen in such places as Tottenham, Brixton and Handsworth. These elements are fervent supporters of the IRA.

Over many years the British government and establishment, riddled with liberalism and decadence, have failed to do their duty in facing down and destroying terrorism in Northern Ireland. In no small measure, the friends and allies of the IRA on the mainland have seen this weakness as giving them the green light to start applying the IRA's methods in the rest

of the United Kingdom — the racial crisis in the inner cities providing them with their best opportunity.

No Briton should fall into thinking that Ulster is some kind of far away alien land which is no concern of ours. On the contrary, the Enniskillen atrocity is the writing on the wall for all of us.

It is now the responsibility of all the British people, on the mainland and in Ulster, to organise themselves in a mighty movement of resistance to this alien menace — for in this respect their elected governments have totally failed them, riddled as those governments have been with those who make common cause with the enemies of this country.

In default of the politicians, we must act. **And we must act now — and quickly!**

AS A PRETEXT for stepping up their persecution of ordinary British folk, the multi-racialists have long been accusing them of carrying out 'racial attacks' against members of Afro-Asian minorities in Britain. Both the Commission for Racial Equality (CRE), the official body set up following the 1976 Race Relations Act by the then Labour government to intimidate Britons into submission to multi-racialism, and the vicious leftist Labour Party 'anti-racist' militants in control of many local councils have recently been jumping on this bandwagon.

The CRE has just published a report entitled 'Living in terror', which tries to make out that Whites are waging some sort of violent war on non-Whites. In many boroughs, Whites are being forced by the 'anti-racist' lobbies in control of their local authorities to sign a so-called 'racial harassment' clause in their tenancy agreements as a condition of being given council accommodation. They are threatened that, if the council decides that they have violated this clause, they will immediately be evicted.

One typical borough where this policy is being applied is Greenwich (South East London). The local newspaper, the 'Free Press', makes it absolutely plain that only WHITES are to be on the receiving end of this policy. It describes the council as "waging war" on those to whom it refers as "racist tenants," who are alleged to be "harassing" their black neighbours. Not a word is said about any harassment of Whites by Blacks, nor is any policy being put forward to tackle that problem, whether by local councils, CRE or the government.

ONLY WHITES ARE 'RACIST'!

The outrageous suggestion which the multi-racialist tyrants are attempting to make stick is that 'racial attacks' and 'racial harassment' are a one-sided affair in which only Whites are the guilty ones and only non-Whites are the victims. However, some recent reports, exposing the conditions that exist in multi-racial inner city areas, give the lie to this suggestion, which is as insulting as it is false.

Chief Superintendent Bill Ganley of Harlesden police (North West London) recently described conditions on the local Stonebridge Park Estate in a public statement. He said that the area, which has suffered about 700 reported crimes this year, has a massive criminal element numbering about 200 black youths. In his words, "90 per cent of robberies are committed by black youths on Whites and Asians. There are no black victims." Police too have often been attacked.

Local white victims of this crime wave make no bones about the racial facts behind much of the violence they have suffered. One elderly widow, who has been mugged 15 times and raped once, stated that every attack she had suffered was by a young Black. A white mother said that virtually all local

RACIAL ATTACKS

Most of the victims are white!

crime was the work of black youths belonging to the self-styled 'Stonebridge Posse'.

Estate caretaker Bert Gale has been harassed and victimised by having missiles thrown at him from a fifth floor flat, being ambushed and beaten up by black youths in a lift, suffering an arson attack when petrol was poured through his front door and had 'Posse' members urinate through his letter-box and beat up his 15-year-old son. He says this was 'revenge' for his having reported local criminals to the council and police. Mr. Gale, a former Royal Marine, has to wear ear-muffs in order to sleep because of his neighbours' din at night. He says that his employers, Brent Council (run by far left 'anti-racists') refuse to rehouse him, but he believes that any Black alleging racial harassment at the hands of Whites would have been rehoused in days.

PREGNANT GIRL BEATEN UP

One pregnant young white woman, Debbie Southard, who was violently mugged in a lift, being smashed in the face and almost losing her then unborn child as a result of the attack,



THE CHILD THAT WAS NEARLY LOST Debbie Southard (above) was 8 months pregnant when she was beaten up by a gang of black thugs in a lift. By a miracle, her little son Scott (seen here with her) survived the ordeal

said of her assailants: "They seemed to want to hurt me. I was so heavily pregnant, they didn't have to be that vicious. People call it racist when you say Blacks carry out almost all these attacks, but it's the truth. I feel there was something racist in the way they were so unnecessarily vicious." She further commented: "The amount of crime the police are talking about is just the half of it; a lot doesn't get reported because people are frightened."

No white person can expect any sort of support or backing from the establishment that rules this country. Predictably, Chief Superintendent Ganley has himself been denounced for speaking out by the 'Black Power' and 'Community Relations' lobbies, and, as a result of the pressures from these groups, the Superintendent's superiors at Scotland Yard are reported to be scurrying about in panic for fear that they might be accused of harbouring white 'racism' within police ranks.

ATTITUDE OF GOVERNMENT

As for the government, despite all Mrs. Thatcher's 'patriotic' and strong-sounding rhetoric at election time and in her addresses to Tory conferences, the real attitude may perhaps best be gauged from the appointment of Mr. Peter Imbert as the new Commissioner for the Metropolitan Police. Shortly after taking over the Met, Imbert said that his top priority would be, not stamping out the kind of nightmarish mayhem against ordinary law-abiding Britons that prevails in such places as Stonebridge Park, but fighting the so-called 'racial harassment' of Blacks by Whites!

Imbert even went so far as to threaten that any White who so much as pushed or shoved a Black or Asian, or even made an uncomplicated remark to him with some sort of racial overtones, might face a 5-year prison term!

All this has come about after 8½ years of TORY rule, and despite Mrs. Thatcher's posturing as a champion of 'law and order' and as an opponent of the 'loony left'.

The 'anti-racist' militants are now running riot with arrogant impunity as a direct result of the policies of weakness and appeasement that Mrs. Thatcher has pursued towards the ethnic minority rioters and criminals since she has gained office.

OLD COUPLE TERRORISED

Another straw in the wind was an appalling case that occurred recently involving an elderly white couple of Polish descent in Camden (North London). The couple had been driven almost out of their minds by reggae music blaring out at all hours of the day and night, turned up full volume by their black neighbours. When the couple, Mr. and Mrs. Buczkowski, complained to the West Indian Bernard family about the noise, Mr. Buczkowski (72) was attacked with an iron bar by one of the Blacks, Mark Bernard (19), whose brothers also joined in and set about him. Mrs. Buczkowski was attacked by the Bernard sisters, who tore her clothes off and beat her.

The Buczkowskis had suffered the noise and abuse of the Bernards, who called them 'Polish bastards' when they asked for silence, for no less than



THE BUCZKOWSKIS Attacked by black family after complaining about reggae noise

10 years. But when they complained of racial harassment at the hands of their neighbours to Camden Community Relations Committee, they were told by Housing Officer Ray Hussain (!) that only Afro-Caribbean and Asian people could be regarded as victims of racial harassment. Two of the Buczkowski's neighbours, Mr. and Mrs. Glynn, who also complained to the council race unit about the Bernards' noise and obscene abusiveness, were similarly told: "White people can't be victims of racial abuse."

When the Bernards came to trial for their assaults on the Buczkowskis, the



THE BERNARDS Received ridiculously light sentences after their vicious attack on old couple

public gallery was packed with Camden Council 'race relations' workers, who were there to support the Bernards. Throughout the proceedings, this mob adopted a threatening attitude towards others present in the court. When a guilty verdict was brought against the Bernards, the mob shouted in protest.

Incredibly, Judge Beddard, who presided, described the Bernard family as "clearly respectable, hard-working people." Of the defendants, only Mark Bernard received a prison sentence — of 3 months. This was for his iron-bar attack on Mr. Buczkowski. His brothers and sisters received suspended sentences barely amounting, as it would seem in the circumstances of the assault, to slaps on the wrist. We can only speculate as to what sentences might have been dished out had Whites carried out such an attack on non-Whites.

TYRANNY

One thing should be clearly grasped in all this: the British people are being subjected, by degrees, to an evil, creeping tyranny, backed up by lies and intimidation. And the biggest of the lies is the allegation, implicit in all the propaganda surrounding the subject, that the main thrust of the spate of racial attacks now taking place in the country is one of attacks by Whites on non-Whites. The reality is that tyrannies are precisely the other way round.

This tyranny of lies and intimidation is being made possible with the willing complicity of the politicians in power — who of course are the architects of the multi-racial horror in the first place. It is high time that the mass of Britons understood this. Britain's race problems are not the result of any natural or inevitable process; they are the outcome of deliberate policy — amounting to TREASON — on the part of our rulers.

The British people can hope for no deliverance from this tyranny by looking to their established rulers; their only hope lies in supporting the rising force of NATIONALISM!

YOUR LETTERS

SIR: I was both surprised and disappointed to read D. Robertson's letter in the October issue.

Surprised to realise that my IQ was so low that it was important that I did not have my mind 'clouded' by too many issues! Mr. Robertson, please do not be so patronising. Some of us really are quite capable of absorbing information on many different issues without becoming too confused!

And disappointed at Mr. Robertson's meanness of spirit in his begrudging Rudolf Hess half a page in *British Nationalist*. That courageous man spent 46 years in solitary confinement for his principles. I really do not think that one-half of one page of one issue is too much to devote to such bravery — nor do I think it likely to 'cloud' too many people's minds.

Whilst matters of contemporary importance must always make up the bulk of the articles in this paper, there is surely enough room for the occasional historical item too. After all, enlightenment about some of the hidden facts of history is important to an understanding of the present.

(Miss) A. LEE
Wilmslow, Ches.

SIR: Many readers may have read of the young British boy who had to wait two hours in a hospital before he was seen by a doctor when his finger had been severed, with the result that the finger could not be saved. This was because of a shortage of doctors, brought on in turn by a shortage of funds.

Yet here in Bradford alone public money is being doled out to the Pakistani Pathan Community (£46,000); the Bangladeshi People's Association (£30,000); Minority Ethnic Women's Groups (£35,000); the Bradford West Indian Parents' Association (£24,750); the Hindu Swayamsevak Sangh (£23,000); the Bangladeshi Youth Organisation (£15,000); the Ravi Dass Sikh Temple (£15,000); the Kaliffa Sunni Muslim Society (£10,000); the Ahmadiyya Muslim Association (£10,000), etc., etc., etc. Comment is surely superfluous.

KEVIN WATMOUGH
Bradford, Yorks.

MRS. THATCHER and the Tories 'conned' their way back into office at the last general election partly through their claim that they stood for the preservation of Britain's independent deterrent.

But just how genuinely 'independent' Britain's nuclear arsenal is now, and is likely to be in the future, was recently exposed in a series of damning admissions both from official sources and in the press.

Nationalists have always known that Britain's nuclear deterrent is no more truly independent than is Britain herself — in relation to the United States. As long ago as the 1950s, the Tory administration of Harold Macmillan, acting in complete subservience to orders from Washington, tamely scrapped Britain's rocket-building programme (Blue Streak and Blue Steel). Then, in the 1960s, both Tory and Labour governments committed Britain to buying Polaris missiles, as part of a general policy of tying us ever



MRS. THATCHER She has tried to pull the wool over the eyes of the British people on defence

more closely to the American-dominated NATO bloc — an agency whose effective function is not so much to defend the western nations against any real enemies as, slowly and by stealth, to squeeze their sovereignty and independence out of existence.

THE TERMS OF TRIDENT

With the Polaris missile system now due for replacement by a new submarine-borne system, it seems that Mrs. Thatcher is

determined to increase Britain's subjection still further. She and her government have agreed to buy, at enormous expense, yet another American missile — Trident. The precise terms of the contract between Britain and the United States under which the Trident missiles have been bought have largely been kept secret from the beginning.

According to reports in *The Daily Telegraph*, this has been done, not primarily for reasons of national security (as the government has made out), but to save Mrs. Thatcher and Co. from political embarrassment. In *The Telegraph's* words, "There is the suspicion that it suits Whitehall to conceal the detailed terms because their publication might cast further doubts upon the independence of Britain's nuclear deterrent." (Our emphasis)

In late October, confirmation of these doubts came from the Ministry of Defence. An official spokesman said that Britain would be

drawing on a pool of American Trident missiles — even describing the arrangement as effectively a **renting** of the missiles.

In fact, whether Britain is renting the missiles or has nominal ownership of them is largely irrelevant. The servicing arrangements for the system, which are now admitted, leave not the slightest doubt that Britain will be deprived of all freedom of action in using such nuclear forces as she may possess under the Trident agreement.

TORY LIES ON DEFENCE EXPOSED

Furthermore, the continued dependence on the United States for our main nuclear armaments could eventually result in Britain having no independent deterrent at all!

SERVICING AND REPLACEMENTS

There is, it seems, to be no facility here in Britain for servicing Trident. In keeping with the stock idiocies and hypocrisies that we have come to expect from the Tory government, the justification for this is supposed to be that it will 'save money'. In truth, the nation's defence is not something on which corners can be cut in this way. Either we are properly defended or we are not. Besides, this follows many years of Tory promises, now shown to be utterly hollow, that no such corners would be cut where national defence was at stake.

It is now being said that the missiles will be turned over to the United States for maintain-

ance, which they will require at regular intervals of a few years (as indicated by the American inspection team which will be based at the Faslane submarine base). Moreover, since it is not planned to keep any stockpile in Britain, it will, in the last resort, be left to the Americans whether or not British submarines will be supplied with replacements.

AMERICA NOT ALWAYS ON OUR SIDE

And there are circumstances in which such replacements might be refused. Despite the fawning attitude of Mrs. Thatcher and preceding Tory premiers towards the United States, the power elite that rules that country has never been friendly towards Britain, particularly in respect of her acting as any kind of independent great power. Since 1945 it has been American pressure that has been used to strip Britain of her Empire, and by 1982 so far had things gone that Mrs. Thatcher and her government had to go grovelling to the United States for approval before Britain could liberate her own sovereign territory in the Falklands.

If British territory — or British interests anywhere in the world — were ever again to be threatened in such a manner, and if Britain acted on her own behalf to rectify the situation without American approval and permission (especially if, as in the Falklands, British nuclear submarine forces were used to help face down the aggressor), then, when Britain's nuclear missiles were due for servicing, the Americans could simply refuse to co-operate, or to provide replacements.

Contd. on back page

FINE LONDON RALLY

THE ANNUAL NATIONAL RALLY held by the British National Party in London on October 31st was an outstanding success. The audience of something over 200 was about the same size as at the same rally last year. As the party had reached a low ebb in the turn-outs for major activities in the Spring of this year, this attendance was very welcome, signifying a return to normal strength.

The audience, coming from as far apart as Cornwall and Scotland, listened to speeches by Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Stanley Clayton-Garnett, Richard Edmonds, Dr. Peter Peel, David Bruce and John Tyndall. Mr. Tyndall, in making the closing speech, dwelt on the big lie put out by the Thatcher government that it is engaged on a series of sweeping changes in education, law and order and order, immigration and the economy. Thatcher's 'revolution', he said, is no revolution. Such a revolution as Britain needs will only come through nationalism.

David Bruce's collection speech was one of the most successful ever and raised over £1,700.

Later, an informal social was enjoyed by all at a nearby pub.

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY MEMBERS are reminded that their subscriptions are due for renewal at the end of this month. Rates are the same as in previous years.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the nationalist unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others; **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British Revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'The tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the 2nd World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

TYNDALL SPEAKS IV

Side 1: Talk on 'The way to full employment'; **Side 2:** Talk on 'The racial time-bomb' (demolition of the case for multi-racial Britain).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 24p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers order ONLY in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 37p post
25 copies £2.70 + £1.00 post
50 copies £4.80 + £2.00 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.45 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.65 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.90 post
300 copies £21.00 + £3.10 post
400 copies £26.40 + £3.40 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to British Nationalist, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning British Nationalist totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.



Photo by Richard Fawcus THE LONDON RALLY
View of audience and platform from near the back of the hall

Scottish rally better than last year

THIS YEAR'S St. Andrew's rally in Glasgow held by the British National Party on November 21st was a resounding success. The attendance of nearly 100 was considerably up on last year and the meeting generated enormous enthusiasm, many newcomers being present and many new friends being won over.

Throughout the rally, the theme of the government's betrayal of loyal Ulster was always strong, this being particularly an important issue on Clydeside.

Liverpool Organiser Ken Usher was the first speaker and he dwelt very much on this theme. He was followed by Glasgow Chairman Gus McLeod, who gave his usual colourful and, at times, highly humorous address. Party National Organiser David Bruce came next and, in addition to dealing with Ulster, spoke of the growing



GLASGOW RALLY
Eric Brand speaks, flanked by Gus McLeod and John Tyndall

danger to Scotland of non-white immigration.

The final speech of the day was made by party Leader John Tyndall. Mr. Tyndall outlined the kind of Britain to which the BNP aspired. He spoke of the importance of national unity, which the present party system made impossible. He stressed how vital it was to protect traditional regional industries against foreign competition. Speaking of Northern Ireland, he said it was the only oasis of political sanity in the British Isles. He ended by saying that victory could not be won except through bitter struggle.

The meeting was ably chaired by Eric Brand and was followed by the usual highly enjoyable social in the evening.

CHURCH GIVES QUEERS THE GO-AHEAD

(Contd. from page 2)

way of easy-going tolerance towards society's deviants and misfits. What is needed in its leaders and moral authorities is an end to bleeding-hearted sentimentality towards these parasites and enemies of the nation and an uncompromising determination to return to a wholesome order of things based on the healthy instincts and values of our folk. The alternative is that the deviants and misfits will end up in absolute control.

BRITAIN'S DETERRENT NOT INDEPENDENT

(Contd. from page 3)

The US government has already cancelled arms supplies in this way to nations that have acted against its will. The fact that Trident is to be supplied under a mere business contract, rather than a sovereign treaty between Britain and the United States, would make it all the easier for Washington to do this in our case.

DIVERGENT INTERESTS

America's interests are not necessarily Britain's. Yet, as *The Telegraph* admits, "our nuclear programme has assumed an absolute dependence upon the United States since the beginning of the Trident negotiations, and to a large extent since we adopted Polaris."

In short, despite all their posturing over defence, Mrs. Thatcher and the Tories have committed Britain to buying Trident — a foreign weapon which remains under the ultimate control of the foreign power which makes and supplies it — and on terms which compromise this country's whole future as a nuclear power. In the modern world a nation without its own nuclear weapons counts for nothing.

Against the open treason of the Labour Party nuclear disarmers, and the equally dangerous creeping treason of the Tories, who invariably sell out Britain on the instalment plan, it is only the British National Party which stands solidly for keeping Britain's defences in British hands. Only weapons produced in British factories and kept exclusively under British control will ever constitute a genuinely independent deterrent. And we alone among the parties stand for this policy.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5 is required on all foreign currency POs and cheques and an additional £1 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

New cassette out now!

BNP Recordings are pleased to announce the release of its latest audio-cassette. This is entitled 'Tyndall Speaks IV' and consists of two recorded talks by the BNP Leader: 'The way to full employment' and 'The racial time-bomb'. These talks represent a bang-up-to-date analysis of Britain's economic and race problems, and would make an ideal Yuletide present for a family member or friend. See further details in our standing ad in column 1.



BOOKS FOR YOUR LIBRARY

Here are just a few of the books available from the BNP Book Service. To ascertain postage costs on each consignment, please calculate one-eighth of the total value of the order. Send cash with orders or write for full list to: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £16.95. Latest best-seller. The first volume of a startling history of World War II and Britain's central figure in that war. This book was shunned by all the 'establishment' publishers on account of its outspokenness. The book well and truly demolishes the myth of Churchill as the great patriot and war leader. 1987, 591pp.

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS (A.K. Chesterton) £3.00. An exposure of the financial power that seeks to drag on mankind into a global police state. 1972, 255pp.

THE CAMP OF THE SAINTS (Jean Raspail) £2.40. A sensational novel about the destruction of the white world by Asian immigrant invasion. 1973, 311pp.

THE BIOLOGY OF THE RACE PROBLEM (Prof. W.C. George) £1.00. The race-equality hoax destroyed by an academic expert. 70pp.

DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (Richard Harwood) 50p. The fact-filled pamphlet that refutes the Holocaust legends and has evoked Zionist frenzy. 28pp.

THE HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY (Prof. Arthur Butz) £2.40. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'Holocaust' and completely dissects the myth. 1977, 315pp.

THE MONEY BOMB (James Gibb Stewart) £3.95. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

NATIONALIST RESPONSE: Quarterly publication of Cardiff BNP. Price 50p including postage. PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Road, London E2 7BZ

WEST LONDON
PO Box 33, Greenford, Middx. UB6 8DS

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS
Bromley
PO Box 22, Bromley, Kent BR 4JP

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

NORTH ESSEX
PO Box 703, Colchester CO7 9SP

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT
PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

WIRRAL
PO Box 17, Birkenhead L41 3SF

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

LEEDS & BRADFORD
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

DONCASTER
PO Box 73, Doncaster DN4 6BS

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Luton, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Burton-on-Trent, Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax, Sheffield, Wakefield, Hull and Sunderland. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, write to party head office at PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support for the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 25p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....